

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/605,154

First Named Applicant: Wiss

Examiner: Pham, Khanh B

Art Unit: 2166

Status: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) John A. Smart

(2) Derek G. Reiger

(3)

(4)

Proposed Date of Interview: TBD

Proposed Time of Interview: TBD

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) 103	1-8, 10-23, 25-32, and 34-43	Shih	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) 103	9, 24 and 33	Shih & Riedel	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached☐ Proposed Amendment or Arguments AttachedBrief Description of Arguments to be Presented: See attached.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

/John A. Smart/

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

John A. Smart

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

34929

Registration Number, if applicable

July 26, 2010

Date Request Submitted

CONTINUATION SHEET
FOR APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST

Proposed Interview date: TBD

Proposed Interview time: TBD

I. Overview of invention, with brief discussion of the problems presented in prior art systems and how the present invention alleviates these problems

II. Discussion of the cited references

A. Shih et al.

B. Shih et al. and Riedel et al.

III. Review claims and limitations that distinguish the invention over the cited art, including for example amended claim limitations:

synchronously copying the information about the ~~transaction~~ transactions recorded in the transaction log to ~~a mirrored transaction log~~ the remote site, so as to create at the ~~replicate-database~~ remote site a mirrored transaction log that is guaranteed to contain at a synchronized point in time an exact copy of the transactions recorded in the transaction log at the primary database;

~~generating a reconstructed transaction while the replicate database remains on-line and available for use, replicating changes made at the primary database to the replicate database by:~~
reconstructing said transactions at the replicate database based on the information about the ~~transaction~~ transactions copied to the mirrored transaction log; and

asynchronously applying the reconstructed ~~transaction~~ transactions at the replicate database while the replicate database remains available for use.

IV. Discussion of outstanding issues and wrap-up